



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,003	04/24/2006	Yuichi Ono	082368-004900US	7150

20350 7590 05/15/2007
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

LANDSMAN, ROBERT S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1647

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/15/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/543,003

Applicant(s)

ONO ET AL.

Examiner

Robert Landsman, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1647

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 April 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 5-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/05.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☒ Other: Sequence Comparison.

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. Formal Matters

- AL
5.15.07
- A. The Response to the Lack of Unity filed 4/24/07 has been entered into the record.
- B. Claims 1, 3 and ~~8~~⁵-9 are pending. Applicants elected Group I, claims 1, 3 and 5 as drawn to SEQ ID NO:1 and 3. However, due to the identification of prior art, claims 3 and 5 will be restricted from claim 1. The response to the Traversal appears below. Claim 1 is the subject of this Office Action.

2. Rejoinder

- A. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1647

3. Traversal

A. Applicants argue that a special technical feature, the dopaminergic cell marker, exists among Groups I-IV. Regarding the traversal of SEQ ID NO:1 vs. 2 and 3 vs 4. Applicants have stated that these polynucleotides and polypeptides are 82-83% identical. These arguments have been considered, but is not deemed persuasive. First, as seen below, there is prior art under 35 USC 102 on the special technical feature (i.e. the marker). Furthermore, Applicants have not identified a common core structure between the proteins of SEQ ID NO:2 and 4, or the nucleic acids of SEQ ID NO:1 and 3. However, since SEQ ID NO:1 encodes SEQ ID NO:3, these two sequence will be searched. This Lack of Unity is deemed proper and is, therefore, made FINAL.

4. Specification

A. The specification is objected to due to the use of hyperlinks, for example, on page 25, lines 1 and 5. Applicant is advised that embedded hyperlinks and/or other forms of browser-executable code are impermissible and require deletion. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into the patent application by reference to a hyperlink and/or other forms of browser-executable code is considered to be an improper incorporation by reference. See MPEP 608.01(p), paragraph I regarding incorporation by reference.

B. The specification is objected to since the Brief Description of Figure 7 should begin with, e.g, "Fig. 7A-D are..."

C. According to 37 CFR 1.821(d) (MPEP § 2422), where the description or claims of a patent application discuss a sequence listing that is set forth in the "Sequence Listing" in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section, reference must be made to the sequence by use of the assigned identifier, in the text of the description or claims, even if the sequence is also embedded in the text of the description or claims of the patent application. Sequences appear on page 33 lines 1-3 of the specification but are not identified by SEQ ID NO as required.

5. Claim Objections

A. Claim 1 is objected to for reciting non-elected subject matter (SEQ ID NO:2 and 4).

Art Unit: 1647

B. No objection is being made to claim 1 regarding the phrase “a nucleotide sequence.” However, it is brought to Applicants’ attention that the use of the word “a” can be interpreted, for prior art purposes, as meaning a single nucleotide or amino acid – as opposed to “the nucleotide sequence” which is interpreted as the entire sequence.

6. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph – scope of enablement

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

A. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the marker of SEQ ID NO:1, does not reasonably provide enablement for markers which are polynucleotides which are fragments of, or which hybridize to, SEQ ID NO:1. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In In re Wands, 8USPQ2d, 1400 (CAFC 1988) page 1404, the factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

First, the breadth of the claim is excessive with regard to claiming all polynucleotide markers which “hybridize” under stringent conditions to that of SEQ ID NO:1, or which are “fragments” of SEQ ID NO:1. Nucleic acid molecules which “hybridize” to SEQ ID NO:1 would have one or more nucleic acid substitutions, deletions, insertions and/or additions to said polynucleotides.

Applicants provide no guidance or working examples of nucleic acid molecules which hybridize to SEQ ID NO:1, or which fragments of SEQ ID NO:1 can be used as markers, nor is it predictable to one of ordinary skill in the art what polynucleotides which hybridize to SEQ ID NO:1 could be used as markers for the claimed cells. Furthermore, the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1 is over 4000 bases. It is not known which fragments of comprising only 15 bases could be used to identify the claimed cell. Similarly, since the claim recites “a nucleotide sequence,” which reads on a single base, it is not known how a single base could be used to identify a cell.

For these reasons, the Examiner to hold that undue experimentation is necessary to practice the invention as claimed.

7. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph – written description

A. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

This is a genus claim. Nucleic acid molecules which “**hybridize**” to SEQ ID NO:1 would have one or more nucleic acid substitutions, deletions, insertions and/or additions to said sequence. Similarly, fragments comprising 15 bases of SEQ ID NO:1 would have one or more bases deleted. SEQ ID NO:1 is over 4000 bases and Applicants have not described which of the large number of probes could be used as a marker for the claimed cell, especially in light of the fact that “**fragments**” as small as 15 bases, or even as small as one base, can be used to specifically identify the claimed cell from other known cell types. Since the claim recites “a nucleotide sequence” a single base reads on the claim. Again, Applicants have not provided sufficient guidance as to how a single base can identify the claimed cell type.

The specification and claims do not indicate what distinguishing attributes are shared by the members of the genus. Thus the scope of the claims includes numerous structural variants, and the genus is highly variant because a significant number of structural differences between genus members is permitted. The specification and claims do not provide any guidance as to what changes should be made. Structural features that could distinguish compounds in the genus from others in the nucleic acid or protein class are missing from the disclosure. No common structural attributes identify the members of the genus. The general knowledge and level of skill in the art do not supplement the omitted description because specific, not general, guidance is what is needed. Since the disclosure fails to describe the common attributes or characteristics that identify members of the genus, and because the genus is highly variant, SEQ ID NO:2, 5 and 32, or molecules which hybridize to the polynucleotides encoding these SEQ ID NOs (which could be at least thousands of molecules) alone are insufficient to describe the genus. One of skill in the art would reasonably conclude that the disclosure fails to provide a representative number of species to describe the genus. Thus, Applicant was not in possession of the claimed genus at the time the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1647

8. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

A. Claim 1 is vague and indefinite since claim 1 recites “stringent conditions.” It is not known what these conditions are. Nucleic acid molecules which hybridize under conditions of “low” stringency would not necessarily hybridize under conditions of “high” stringency. Furthermore, not all conditions of “high” or “low” stringency, for example, are the same. Therefore, it is required that Applicants amend the claims to recite the exact hybridization conditions without using indefinite phrases such as “*for example*” **without adding new matter.**

9. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by ***. Claims 13-16 of US20060239978.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention “by another,” or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 1647

B. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tomita. The claim recites a marker complementary to SEQ ID NO:1, which hybridizes to SEQ ID NO:1, or which is a fragment of SEQ ID NO:1 as small as 1 base. Tomita teach a polynucleotide 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:1 (Sequence Comparison A). Both are identified as Lrp4 proteins. Therefore, even if Tomita did not disclose the fact that their sequence could be used as a marker, it would be inherent that this polynucleotide, which is 100% identical to that of the claimed invention, could be used as a marker.

10. Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

A. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-16 of copending Application No. US20060239978. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application recite a probe comprising at least 15 bases, or which hybridizes to SEQ ID NO:1. The application also claims methods of using the probe and the cell identified by the probe. The '978 application recites using a large fragment of SEQ ID NO:1 as well as the same methods of using.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1647

11. Conclusion


A. No claim is allowable.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0888. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10 AM – 7 PM (eastern).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Robert Landsman, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1647

Sequence Comparison

AB013874
LOCUS AB013874 4864 bp mRNA linear ROD 11-NOV-1998
DEFINITION Mus musculus mRNA for Low Density Lipoprotein Receptor Related Protein 4, complete cds.
ACCESSION AB013874
VERSION AB013874.1 GI:3869144
KEYWORDS Low Density Lipoprotein Receptor Related Protein 4.
SOURCE Mus musculus (house mouse)
ORGANISM Mus musculus
Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi; Mammalia; Eutheria; Euarchontoglires; Glires; Rodentia; Sciurognathi; Muroidea; Muridae; Murinae; Mus.

REFERENCE 1
AUTHORS Tomita,Y., Kim,D.H., Magoori,K., Fujino,T. and Yamamoto,T.T.
TITLE A novel low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein with type II membrane protein-like structure is abundant in heart
JOURNAL J. Biochem. 124 (4), 784-789 (1998)
PUBMED 9756624

REFERENCE 2 (bases 1 to 4864)
AUTHORS Kim,D., Tomita,Y. and Yamamoto,T.T.
TITLE Direct Submission
JOURNAL Submitted (12-MAY-1998) Dongho Kim, Tohoku University, Gene Research Center; 1-1 Tsutsumidori Amamiya Aoba, Sendai, Miyagi 981-8555, Japan (E-mail:dondon@biochem.tohoku.ac.jp, Tel:81-22-717-8875, Fax:81-22-717-8877)

FEATURES
source Location/Qualifiers
1..4864
/organism="Mus musculus"
/mol_type="mRNA"
/db_xref="taxon:10090"

CDS
61..3402
/codon_start=1
/product="Low Density Lipoprotein Receptor Related Protein 4"
/protein_id="BAA34371.1"
/db_xref="GI:3869145"
/translation="MGRVSFSVRVSSVRRARCSGPCYLSRVPTTALRALNGLGCAGVPGETAGGAVGPGPLGTRGFLSGSKFQAPGSWKDCFGAPPAPDVLRADRSVGEGCPQKLVTANLLRFLLLVLI PCICALIVLLAILLSFVGTLKRVYFKSNDSEPLVTDGEARVPGVIPVNTVYENTGAPSLPPSQSTPAWTPRAPSPEDQSHRNTSTCMNITHSQCQILPYHSTLAPLLPIVKNMDMEKFLKFFTYLHRLSCYQHILLFGCSLAFPECVVDGDDRHLGLPCRSFCEAAKEGCESVLGMVNSSWPDSLRCQFRDHTETNSSVRKSCFSLQQEHGKQSLCGGGSFSLCTSGLCVPPKLCQNGYNDCCDWSDEAHCNCSKDLFHCCTGKCLHYSLLCDGYDDCGDPSEQNCDCNLTKEHRCGDGRCIAAEWVCDGDHDCVDSDEVNCSCHSQGLVECTSGQCIPSTFQCDGDEDCDGSDEENCSDSQTCPCEGQGCFCGSSCVESCAGSLCDSDSLNSCSQCEPITLELCMNLLYNHHTYPNYLGHRTQKEASISWESSLFPALVQTNCYKYLMMFFACTILVPKCDVNTGQRI PPCRLLCESKERCESVLGIVGLQWPEDTD CNQFPEESSDNQTCLLPNEDVEECSPSHFKCRSGRCVLGSRRCQADCCDDSDSEENC GCKERALWECFPNKQCLKHTLICDGFPCDPSMDEKNCSFCQDNELECANHECVPRDLWCDGWVDCSDSSDEWGCVTLSKNGNSSLLTVHKSAREHHVCADGWRETLSQLACKQMGLGEPSTVKLIPGQEGQWLRLYPNWNENLNGSTLQELLVYRHSCPSRSEISLLCSKQDCGRRPAARMNKRI LGGRTSRPGRWPQC SLQSEPSGHICGCVLIAKKWVLTVAHC FEGREDADVWKVVFGINNLDHPSGFMQTRFVKTI LLHPRYSRAVDYDISVVELSDDINETSYVRPVCLPSPEEYLEPDTYCYITGWGHMGNKMPFKLQEGEVRI I PLEQCQSYFDMKTI TNRMICAGYESGTVDSCMGDSGGPLVCERPGGQWTLFGLTSWGSVCFSKVLGPGVYS NVSYFVGWIERQIYIQTFLQKKSQG"

polyA_site 4864
/note="16 a nucleotides"

ORIGIN

Alignment Scores:
Pred. No.: 0 Length: 4864
Score: 6237.00 Matches: 1113

US-10-543-003-3 (1-1113) x AB013874 (1-4864)

Qy	1	MetGlyArgValSerPheSerValArgValSerSerValArgArgAlaArgCysSerCys	20
Db	61	ATGGGCAGGGTTTCCTTCAGCGTTCGGGTTCAGCTCCGTGCGGAGAGCCCGCTGCTCTTGT	120
Qy	21	ProGlyArgCysTyrLeuSerCysArgValProProThrThrAlaLeuArgAlaLeuAsn	40
Db	121	CCTGGGCGATGCTACCTCTCCTGCAGAGTCCCTCCAACCACCGCCCTCCGTGCACTGAAC	180
Qy	41	GlyLeuGlyCysAlaGlyValProGlyGluThrAlaGlyGlyAlaValGlyProGlyPro	60
Db	181	GGTCTTGGCTGCGCGGGGGTTCCGGGGGAGACTGCAGGTGGAGCCGTCGGACCCGGCCCC	240
Qy	61	LeuGlyThrArgGlyPheLeuSerGlySerLysPheGlnAlaProGlySerTrpLysAsp	80
Db	241	TTGGGGACCCGTGGCTTCCTCTCCGGGTCCAAGTTCAGGCTCCCGGCAGCTGGAAGGAT	300
Qy	81	CysPheGlyAlaProProAlaProAspValLeuArgAlaAspArgSerValGlyGluGly	100
Db	301	TGCTTTGGAGCCCCGCTGCTCCAGACGTCTTGAGAGCAGACAGGAGCGTGGGCGAGGGC	360
Qy	101	CysProGlnLysLeuValThrAlaAsnLeuLeuArgPheLeuLeuLeuValLeuIlePro	120
Db	361	TGTCCTCAGAAGCTGGTGACTGCTAACTTGCTGCGCTTCTCTCTGCTGGTGCTCATCCCC	420
Qy	121	CysIleCysAlaLeuIleValLeuLeuAlaIleLeuLeuSerPheValGlyThrLeuLys	140
Db	421	TGCATCTGCGCCCTCATCGTGCTGCTGGCCATCTGCTGTCTTTGTGGGAACATTAAAA	480
Qy	141	ArgValTyrPheLysSerAsnAspSerGluProLeuValThrAspGlyGluAlaArgVal	160
Db	481	AGGGTTTATTTCAAATCAAATGACAGTGAACCTTTGGTCACTGATGGGGAAGCTCGAGTG	540
Qy	161	ProGlyValIleProValAsnThrValTyrTyrGluAsnThrGlyAlaProSerLeuPro	180
Db	541	CCTGGTGTTATTCTCTGTAAATACAGTTTATTATGAGAACACAGGGGCGCCCTCTCTGCCC	600
Qy	181	ProSerGlnSerThrProAlaTrpThrProArgAlaProSerProGluAspGlnSerHis	200
Db	601	CCCAGCCAGTCCACTCCAGCCTGGACACCGAGAGCTCCTTCTCCAGAGGACCAGAGTCAC	660
Qy	201	ArgAsnThrSerThrCysMetAsnIleThrHisSerGlnCysGlnIleLeuProTyrHis	220
Db	661	AGGAACACAAGCACCTGCATGAACATCACTCACAGCCAGTGTCAAATTCTGCCCTACCAC	720
Qy	221	SerThrLeuAlaProLeuLeuProIleValLysAsnMetAspMetGluLysPheLeuLys	240
Db	721	AGCACGTTGGCACCTCTCTTGCCAATTGTCAAAAACATGGACATGGAGAAGTTCCTCAAG	780
Qy	241	PhePheThrTyrLeuHisArgLeuSerCysTyrGlnHisIleLeuLeuPheGlyCysSer	260
Db	781	TTCTTCACGTACCTCCATCGCCTCAGTTGCTATCAACATATCTGCTCTTCGGCTGTAGC	840
Qy	261	LeuAlaPheProGluCysValValAspGlyAspAspArgHisGlyLeuLeuProCysArg	280
Db	841	CTCGCCTTCCTGAGTGCGTTGTTGATGGCGATGACAGGCATGGTCTTCTACCCTGTAGA	900

Qy	281	SerPheCysGluAlaAlaLysGluGlyCysGluSerValLeuGlyMetValAsnSerSer	300
Db	901	TCTTTCTGTGAGGCTGCAAAAGAAGGATGCGAATCTGTCTCTGGGAATGGTGAACCTCTCC	960
Qy	301	TrpProAspSerLeuArgCysSerGlnPheArgAspHisThrGluThrAsnSerSerVal	320
Db	961	TGGCCGGATTCCCTCAGATGCTCTCAGTTTAGGGACCACACTGAGACTAACAGCAGTGTG	1020
Qy	321	ArgLysSerCysPheSerLeuGlnGlnGluHisGlyLysGlnSerLeuCysGlyGlyGly	340
Db	1021	AGAAAGAGCTGCTTCTCACTGCAGCAGGAACATGGAAAGCAATCACTCTGTGGAGGGGGC	1080
Qy	341	GluSerPheLeuCysThrSerGlyLeuCysValProLysLysLeuGlnCysAsnGlyTyr	360
Db	1081	GAGAGCTTCCTGTGTACCAGCGGGCTCTGCGTCCCCAAGAAGCTGCAGTGTAAACGGCTAT	1140
Qy	361	AsnAspCysAspAspTrpSerAspGluAlaHisCysAsnCysSerLysAspLeuPheHis	380
Db	1141	AATGACTGTGATGACTGGAGCGACGAGGCGCATTGCAACTGCAGCAAGGATCTGTTTCAC	1200
Qy	381	CysGlyThrGlyLysCysLeuHisTyrSerLeuLeuCysAspGlyTyrAspAspCysGly	400
Db	1201	TGTGGCACAGGCAAGTGCCTCCACTACAGCCTCTTGTGTGATGGGTACGATGACTGTGGG	1260
Qy	401	AspProSerAspGluGlnAsnCysAspCysAsnLeuThrLysGluHisArgCysGlyAsp	420
Db	1261	GACCCGAGTGACGAGCAAACTGTGATTGTAATCTCACAAAAGAGCATCGCTGTGGAGAT	1320
Qy	421	GlyArgCysIleAlaAlaGluTrpValCysAspGlyAspHisAspCysValAspLysSer	440
Db	1321	GGGCGCTGCATTGCGGCTGAGTGGGTGTGCGATGGGGACCATGACTGTGTGGACAAGTCT	1380
Qy	441	AspGluValAsnCysSerCysHisSerGlnGlyLeuValGluCysThrSerGlyGlnCys	460
Db	1381	GATGAGGTCAACTGCTCTTGTGCACAGCCAGGGCCTGGTGGAAATGCACAAGTGGACAGTGC	1440
Qy	461	IleProSerThrPheGlnCysAspGlyAspGluAspCysLysAspGlySerAspGluGlu	480
Db	1441	ATCCCTAGCACCTTCCAGTGTGATGGGGACGAAGACTGTAAGGATGGGAGTGACGAGGAG	1500
Qy	481	AsnCysSerAspSerGlnThrProCysProGluGlyGluGlnGlyCysPheGlySerSer	500
Db	1501	AACTGCAGTGACAGTCAGACGCCATGTCCAGAAGGAGAACAGGGATGCTTTGGCAGTTCC	1560
Qy	501	CysValGluSerCysAlaGlySerSerLeuCysAspSerAspSerSerLeuSerAsnCys	520
Db	1561	TGCGTCGAATCCTGTGCTGGTAGCTCTCTGTGTGACTCAGACAGCAGCCTGAGTAACTGC	1620
Qy	521	SerGlnCysGluProIleThrLeuGluLeuCysMetAsnLeuLeuTyrAsnHisThrHis	540
Db	1621	AGTCAATGTGAGCCCATCACTTTGGAACCTGTCATGAATTTGCTCTACAACCATACACAT	1680
Qy	541	TyrProAsnTyrLeuGlyHisArgThrGlnLysGluAlaSerIleSerTrpGluSerSer	560
Db	1681	TATCCAAATTACCTTGGCCACAGAACTCAAAGGAAGCGTCCATCAGCTGGGAGTCATCC	1740
Qy	561	LeuPheProAlaLeuValGlnThrAsnCysTyrLysTyrLeuMetPhePheAlaCysThr	580
Db	1741	CTTTTCCCTGCCCTTGTACAAACCAACTGTTACAAATACCTCATGTTTTTCGCTTGCACC	1800
Qy	581	IleLeuValProLysCysAspValAsnThrGlyGlnArgIleProProCysArgLeuLeu	600
Db	1801	ATTTTGGTTCCAAAGTGTGATGTGAATACAGGACAACGCATCCCGCCTTGCAGACTCCTG	1860

Qy	601	CysGluHisSerLysGluArgCysGluSerValLeuGlyIleValGlyLeuGlnTrpPro	620
Db	1861	TGTGAGCACTCCAAAGAGCGCTGTGAGTCTGTTCTGGGAATCGTTGGCCTGCAGTGGCCT	1920
Qy	621	GluAspThrAspCysAsnGlnPheProGluGluSerSerAspAsnGlnThrCysLeuLeu	640
Db	1921	GAAGACACCGACTGCAATCAATTTCCAGAGGAAAGTTCAGACAATCAAACCTGCCTCCTG	1980
Qy	641	ProAsnGluAspValGluGluCysSerProSerHisPheLysCysArgSerGlyArgCys	660
Db	1981	CCCAATGAAGATGTGGAAGAATGCTCTCCGAGTCACTTCAAATGCCGCTCGGGACGATGC	2040
Qy	661	ValLeuGlySerArgArgCysAspGlyGlnAlaAspCysAspAspAspSerAspGluGlu	680
Db	2041	GTTCTGGGCTCCAGGAGATGTGACGGCCAGGCTGACTGTGACGACGACAGTGACGAGGAG	2100
Qy	681	AsnCysGlyCysLysGluArgAlaLeuTrpGluCysProPheAsnLysGlnCysLeuLys	700
Db	2101	AACTGTGGTTGTAAAGAGAGAGCTCTTTGGGAATGTCCATTTAATAAGCAATGTCTGAAG	2160
Qy	701	HisThrLeuIleCysAspGlyPheProAspCysProAspSerMetAspGluLysAsnCys	720
Db	2161	CATACATTAATCTGCGATGGGTTTCCAGATTGTCCAGACAGTATGGATGAAAAAACTGC	2220
Qy	721	SerPheCysGlnAspAsnGluLeuGluCysAlaAsnHisGluCysValProArgAspLeu	740
Db	2221	TCATTTTGCCAAGACAATGAGCTGGAATGTGCCAACCATGAGTGTGTGCCGCGTGACCTT	2280
Qy	741	TrpCysAspGlyTrpValAspCysSerAspSerSerAspGluTrpGlyCysValThrLeu	760
Db	2281	TGGTGCGACGGATGGGTGCGACTGCTCAGACAGTTCTGATGAATGGGGCTGTGTGACCCTC	2340
Qy	761	SerLysAsnGlyAsnSerSerSerLeuLeuThrValHisLysSerAlaLysGluHisHis	780
Db	2341	TCTAAAAATGGGAACCTCCTCCTCATTGCTGACTGTTACAAATCTGCAAAGGAACACCAC	2400
Qy	781	ValCysAlaAspGlyTrpArgGluThrLeuSerGlnLeuAlaCysLysGlnMetGlyLeu	800
Db	2401	GTGTGTGCTGACGGCTGGCGGGAGACGTTGAGTCAGCTGGCCTGCAAGCAGATGGGTTTA	2460
Qy	801	GlyGluProSerValThrLysLeuIleProGlyGlnGluGlyGlnGlnTrpLeuArgLeu	820
Db	2461	GGAGAACCGTCTGTGACCAAGCTGATCCCAGGACAGGAAGGCCAGCAGTGGCTGAGGTTG	2520
Qy	821	TyrProAsnTrpGluAsnLeuAsnGlySerThrLeuGlnGluLeuLeuValTyrArgHis	840
Db	2521	TACCCCAACTGGGAGAATCTCAATGGGAGACCTTGCAAGAGCTGCTGGTATACAGGCAC	2580
Qy	841	SerCysProSerArgSerGluIleSerLeuLeuCysSerLysGlnAspCysGlyArgArg	860
Db	2581	TCCTGCCCAAGCAGAAGTGAGATTTCCCTTCTGTGCTCCAAGCAAGACTGTGGCCGCCGC	2640
Qy	861	ProAlaAlaArgMetAsnLysArgIleLeuGlyGlyArgThrSerArgProGlyArgTrp	880
Db	2641	CCTGCTGCCCGAATGAACAAGAGGATCCTTGGGGGTCGGACTAGTCGTCCTGGGAGGTGG	2700
Qy	881	ProTrpGlnCysSerLeuGlnSerGluProSerGlyHisIleCysGlyCysValLeuIle	900
Db	2701	CCGTGGCAGTGCTCTCTGCAGAGTGAACCCAGTGGACATATCTGTGGCTGTGTCCTCATT	2760
Qy	901	AlaLysLysTrpValLeuThrValAlaHisCysPheGluGlyArgGluAspAlaAspVal	920
Db	2761	GCCAAGAAGTGGGTCTCTGACAGTTGCCCATGTCTTTGAAGGGAGAGAAGACGCTGATGTT	2820

Qy	921	TrpLysValValPheGlyIleAsnAsnLeuAspHisProSerGlyPheMetGlnThrArg	940
Db	2821	TGGAAAGTGGTATTTGGCATAAACCACTGGACCATCCATCAGGCTTCATGCAGACCCGC	2880
Qy	941	PheValLysThrIleLeuLeuHisProArgTyrSerArgAlaValValAspTyrAspIle	960
Db	2881	TTTGTGAAGACCATCCTGCTACATCCCCGTTACAGTCGAGCAGTGGTAGACTATGATATC	2940
Qy	961	SerValValGluLeuSerAspAspIleAsnGluThrSerTyrValArgProValCysLeu	980
Db	2941	AGCGTGGTGGAGCTGAGCGATGATATCAATGAGACAAGCTACGTCAGACCTGTCTGCCTA	3000
Qy	981	ProSerProGluGluTyrLeuGluProAspThrTyrCysTyrIleThrGlyTrpGlyHis	1000
Db	3001	CCCAGTCCGAGGAGTATCTAGAACCAGATACGTACTGCTACATCACAGGCTGGGGCCAC	3060
Qy	1001	MetGlyAsnLysMetProPheLysLeuGlnGluGlyGluValArgIleIleProLeuGlu	1020
Db	3061	ATGGGCAATAAAATGCCCTTTAAGCTGCAGGAGGGAGAGGTCCGCATTATCCCTCTGGAG	3120
Qy	1021	GlnCysGlnSerTyrPheAspMetLysThrIleThrAsnArgMetIleCysAlaGlyTyr	1040
Db	3121	CAGTGCCAGTCCTATTTTGACATGAAGACCATCACCAATCGGATGATCTGTGCTGGCTAT	3180
Qy	1041	GluSerGlyThrValAspSerCysMetGlyAspSerGlyGlyProLeuValCysGluArg	1060
Db	3181	GAGTCTGGCACCGTGGACTCCTGCATGGGAGACAGCGGTGGGCCTCTGGTTGTGAACGA	3240
Qy	1061	ProGlyGlyGlnTrpThrLeuPheGlyLeuThrSerTrpGlySerValCysPheSerLys	1080
Db	3241	CCCGGAGGACAGTGGACATTATTTGGTTAACTTCATGGGGCTCCGTCTGCTTTTCCAAA	3300
Qy	1081	ValLeuGlyProGlyValTyrSerAsnValSerTyrPheValGlyTrpIleGluArgGln	1100
Db	3301	GTTCTGGGACCTGGAGTGACAGCAATGTGTCTTACTTTGTGGGCTGGATTGAAAGACAA	3360
Qy	1101	IleTyrIleGlnThrPheLeuGlnLysLysSerGlnGly	1113
Db	3361	ATATATATCCAGACCTTTCTCCAAAAGAAATCCCAAGGA	3399